

DRAFT – FOR COMMENT ONLY

Cervical Laminoplasty

DRAFT – FOR COMMENT ONLY



**DEFINING APPROPRIATE
COVERAGE POSITIONS**

Important Note: The following is a working DRAFT document that should not be considered an official NASS position until finalized. This DRAFT document is being shared ONLY for purposes of receiving comments, suggestions and/or edits from our members, and should not be used for any other purpose. Specifically, no decisions on patient care or coverage should be made on the basis of this DRAFT document, as this document and all information contained herein is subject to change significantly during the review process. Please visit NASS website to access our FINAL Coverage Recommendations.

Introduction

North American Spine Society (NASS) coverage policy recommendations are intended to assist payers and members by proactively defining appropriate coverage positions. Historically, NASS has provided comment on payer coverage policy upon request. However, in considering coverage policies received by the organization, NASS believes proactively examining medical evidence and recommending credible and reasonable positions may be to the benefit of both payers and members in helping achieve consensus on coverage before it becomes a matter of controversy.

Methodology

The coverage policies put forth by NASS use an evidence-based approach to spinal care when possible. In the absence of strict evidence-based criteria, policies reflect the multidisciplinary and non-conflicted experience and expertise of the authors in order to reflect reasonable standard practice indications in the United States.

[NASS Coverage Policy Methodology](#)

Scope and Clinical Indications

Cervical Laminoplasty, also described as **Cervical Laminaplasty**, is a decompressive and reconstructive procedure of the cervical spine. It is utilized to access and/or decompress the cervical spinal canal. It may be performed with or without reconstruction of the posterior cervical elements. Each spinal level (eg. C3, C4, C5) represents a single level of laminoplasty with or without reconstruction. Cervical Laminoplasty may be combined with cervical laminectomy but both procedures cannot be performed at the same level (eg. C3 laminectomy, C4-6 laminoplasty, C7 laminectomy). Cervical Laminoplasty may be combined with cervical foraminotomy at either the same levels or at different levels (eg. C4-6 laminoplasty with C4-5 foraminotomy).

Cervical Laminoplasty (also defined as Cervical Laminaplasty) may be indicated for the following diagnoses with qualifying criteria, when appropriate.

1. **Spinal Stenosis** in the cervical spine including recurrent spinal stenosis, congenital stenosis, or stenosis caused by cervical spondylosis or ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament (OPLL) meeting the following criteria:

NASS coverage recommendations should not be construed as including all proper methods of care or excluding other acceptable methods of care reasonably directed to obtaining the same results. The ultimate judgment regarding any specific procedure or treatment is to be made by the physician and patient in light of all circumstances presented by the patient and the needs and resources particular to the locality or institution. **The coverage recommendations do not represent a "standard of care,"** nor are they intended as a fixed treatment protocol. It is anticipated that there will be patients who will require less or more treatment than the average. It is also acknowledged that in atypical cases, treatment falling outside these criteria will sometimes be necessary. This document should not be seen as prescribing the type, frequency or duration of intervention. Treatment and accompanying payment should be based on this information in addition to an individual patient's needs as well as the doctor's professional judgment and experience. This document is designed to function as a guide and should not be used as the sole reason for denial of treatment and services. It is not intended to supersede applicable ethical standards or provisions of law. This is not a legal document.

- a. Signs and symptoms of cervical myelopathy correlated with diagnostic imaging
 - b. Neutral to Lordotic Cervical alignment with no greater than 13 degrees of kyphosis
 - c. Decompression of the neural elements as an adjunct to stabilization when indicated for mechanical spinal column instability
2. **Cervical disc herniation** including recurrent disc herniation meeting the following criteria:
 - a. Signs and symptoms of cervical myelopathy correlated with diagnostic imaging
 3. **Tumor** in any of the following cases:
 - a. In order to perform an open biopsy for tissue diagnosis
 - b. In order to remove tumor to decompress the spinal canal or neural elements
 4. **Trauma** to the cervical spinal cord, without spinal instability, in any of the following cases
 - a. In order to decompress the spinal canal
 - b. In order to access the thecal sac to repair a traumatic dural tear/CSF leak
 5. **Epidural Hematoma** in the following case:
 - a. In order to evacuate a symptomatic epidural hematoma causing neural compression
 6. **Infection** in the following case:
 - a. In order to perform a spinal canal decompression and debridement if ANY of the following is present:
 - i. Lack of clinical response to an appropriate course of antibiotics
 - ii. Epidural abscess with associated neurological deficits
 - iii. Signs of systemic sepsis associated with spinal infection
 - iv. Need to obtain tissue diagnosis
 - v. Decompression of the neural elements as an adjunct to stabilization when indicated for mechanical spinal column instability

Cervical Laminoplasty is NOT indicated in cases that do not fulfill the following criteria. Of note, Cervical Laminoplasty alone is not indicated in the following scenarios:

- Patients with asymptomatic spinal stenosis with complaints of isolated neck pain (i.e. patients without signs or symptoms of cervical myelopathy) without MRI evidence of myelomalacia (intrinsic spinal cord signal)
- Patients without confirmatory cross-sectional imaging showing neurological compression
- Patients with spinal instability including that caused by trauma, tumor, infection, rheumatoid arthritis, or other destructive spondyloarthropathies, who do not have symptomatic cervical myelopathy

NASS coverage recommendations should not be construed as including all proper methods of care or excluding other acceptable methods of care reasonably directed to obtaining the same results. The ultimate judgment regarding any specific procedure or treatment is to be made by the physician and patient in light of all circumstances presented by the patient and the needs and resources particular to the locality or institution. **The coverage recommendations do not represent a "standard of care,"** nor are they intended as a fixed treatment protocol. It is anticipated that there will be patients who will require less or more treatment than the average. It is also acknowledged that in atypical cases, treatment falling outside these criteria will sometimes be necessary. This document should not be seen as prescribing the type, frequency or duration of intervention. Treatment and accompanying payment should be based on this information in addition to an individual patient's needs as well as the doctor's professional judgment and experience. This document is designed to function as a guide and should not be used as the sole reason for denial of treatment and services. It is not intended to supersede applicable ethical standards or provisions of law. This is not a legal document.

- Patients with greater than 13 degrees cervical kyphotic alignment

Rationale

In Item 1 (Spinal Stenosis) and Item 2 (Cervical Disc Herniation), there is a large body of literature that reports the outcomes of cervical Laminoplasty for the treatment of symptomatic cervical spinal stenosis. A systematic review of this data is beyond the scope of this coverage document. Spinal stenosis may be associated, either in isolation or in combination, with recurrent spinal stenosis, congenital stenosis, cervical spondylosis, or ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament (OPLL).^{1, 4,5,7,8, 11-13, 15, 16, 19-27}

Symptoms of cervical stenosis would include those of cervical myelopathy with or without cervical radiculopathy. Cervical disc herniation, typically involving multiple levels, may also produce symptoms of cervical myelopathy with or without radiculopathy amenable to treatment with Cervical Laminoplasty. Patients must have either a lordotic or neutral alignment prior to surgery; this alignment allows for posterior spinal cord migration which has been correlated to neurological improvement.^{2,3} Individuals with cervical kyphosis of greater than 13 degrees have been found to have less satisfactory outcomes.²⁴ Those with greater than 50 percent anterior canal compression may fare better with anterior surgery.²¹ Regarding nonsurgical treatment of cervical myelopathy, a number of studies investigating the natural history of myelopathy have demonstrated poor results with nearly all patients experiencing progressive, and potentially irreversible, neurological decline (weakness, numbness, clumsiness, gait disturbances).⁶ In patients with mild cervical myelopathy, close observation has been shown in one study to be an option⁹, however this study had a small population of patients and was not statistically powered to detect a difference. The surgical treatment of patients with symptomatic spinal stenosis, to the contrary, demonstrates that Cervical Laminoplasty can improve pain and prevent neurological decline and, in some patients, improve neurological function.^{4, 5, 17}

In Item 3 (Tumor) and Item 6 (Infection), Cervical Laminoplasty can be utilized to obtain access to the cervical spinal canal for the biopsy and/or removal of tumors or infections.^{14, 18} This would be utilized as an alternative to cervical laminectomy or cervical laminectomy and fusion.

In Item 4 (Trauma), Cervical Laminoplasty has been demonstrated to provide surgical decompression in the setting of a traumatic spinal cord injury (complete, incomplete or central cord syndrome).^{13, 14} Cervical Laminoplasty would be utilized as an alternative to cervical laminectomy and fusion. Cervical

NASS coverage recommendations should not be construed as including all proper methods of care or excluding other acceptable methods of care reasonably directed to obtaining the same results. The ultimate judgment regarding any specific procedure or treatment is to be made by the physician and patient in light of all circumstances presented by the patient and the needs and resources particular to the locality or institution. **The coverage recommendations do not represent a "standard of care,"** nor are they intended as a fixed treatment protocol. It is anticipated that there will be patients who will require less or more treatment than the average. It is also acknowledged that in atypical cases, treatment falling outside these criteria will sometimes be necessary. This document should not be seen as prescribing the type, frequency or duration of intervention. Treatment and accompanying payment should be based on this information in addition to an individual patient's needs as well as the doctor's professional judgment and experience. This document is designed to function as a guide and should not be used as the sole reason for denial of treatment and services. It is not intended to supersede applicable ethical standards or provisions of law. This is not a legal document.

Laminoplasty would be contra-indicated in the setting of traumatic instability of the cervical spine (eg. facet dislocation or a distraction injury).

In Item 5 (Epidural Hematoma), Cervical Laminoplasty can be utilized to provide a decompression of the spinal canal and to provide access for an evacuation and removal of hematoma.¹⁰

References

1. Baba, H., N. Furusawa, Q. Chen, and S. Imura. "Cervical Laminoplasty in Patients with Ossification of the Posterior Longitudinal Ligaments." *Paraplegia* 33, no. 1 (12 1995): 25-29. doi:10.1038/sc.1995.6.
2. Baba, Hisatoshi, Kenzo Uchida, Yasuhisa Maezawa, Nobuaki Furusawa, Miki Azuchi, and Shinichi Imura. "Lordotic Alignment and Posterior Migration of the Spinal Cord following En Bloc Open-door Laminoplasty for Cervical Myelopathy: A Magnetic Resonance Imaging Study." *Journal of Neurology* 243, no. 9 (12 1996): 626-32. doi:10.1007/BF00878657.
3. Benzel, Edward C., John Lancon, Lee Kesterson, and Theresa Hadden. "Cervical Laminectomy and Dentate Ligament Section for Cervical Spondylotic Myelopathy." *Journal of Spinal Disorders* 4, no. 3 (12 1991): 286-95. doi:10.1097/00002517-199109000-00005.
4. Fehlings, Michael G., Jefferson R. Wilson, Branko Kopjar, Sangwook Tim Yoon, Paul M. Arnold, Eric M. Massicotte, Alexander R. Vaccaro, Darrel S. Brodke, Christopher I. Shaffrey, Justin S. Smith, Eric J. Woodard, Robert J. Banco, Jens R. Chapman, Michael E. Janssen, Christopher M. Bono, Rick C. Sasso, Mark B. Dekutoski, and Ziya L. Gokaslan. "Efficacy and Safety of Surgical Decompression in Patients with Cervical Spondylotic Myelopathy." *The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery (American)* 95, no. 18 (12, 2013): 1651. doi:10.2106/JBJS.L.00589.
5. Fehlings, Michael G., Justin S. Smith, Branko Kopjar, Paul M. Arnold, S. Tim Yoon, Alexander R. Vaccaro, Darrel S. Brodke, Michael E. Janssen, Jens R. Chapman, Rick C. Sasso, Eric J. Woodard, Robert J. Banco, Eric M. Massicotte, Mark B. Dekutoski, Ziya L. Gokaslan, Christopher M. Bono, and Christopher I. Shaffrey. "Perioperative and Delayed Complications Associated with the Surgical Treatment of Cervical Spondylotic Myelopathy Based on 302 Patients from the AOSpine North America Cervical Spondylotic Myelopathy Study." *Journal of Neurosurgery: Spine*, 12, 2012, 1-8. doi:10.3171/2012.1.SPINE11467.
6. Fehlings, Michael G., Jefferson R. Wilson, S. Tim Yoon, John M. Rhee, Mohammed F. Shamji, and

NASS coverage recommendations should not be construed as including all proper methods of care or excluding other acceptable methods of care reasonably directed to obtaining the same results. The ultimate judgment regarding any specific procedure or treatment is to be made by the physician and patient in light of all circumstances presented by the patient and the needs and resources particular to the locality or institution. **The coverage recommendations do not represent a "standard of care,"** nor are they intended as a fixed treatment protocol. It is anticipated that there will be patients who will require less or more treatment than the average. It is also acknowledged that in atypical cases, treatment falling outside these criteria will sometimes be necessary. This document should not be seen as prescribing the type, frequency or duration of intervention. Treatment and accompanying payment should be based on this information in addition to an individual patient's needs as well as the doctor's professional judgment and experience. This document is designed to function as a guide and should not be used as the sole reason for denial of treatment and services. It is not intended to supersede applicable ethical standards or provisions of law. This is not a legal document.

- Brandon D. Lawrence. "Symptomatic Progression of Cervical Myelopathy and the Role of Nonsurgical Management." *Spine* 38 (12 2013): S19-20. doi:10.1097/BRS.0b013e3182a7f4de.
7. Hardman, Julian, Oliver Graf, Pelagia E. Kouloumberis, Wei-Hua Gao, Michael Chan, and Ben Z. Roitberg. "Clinical and Functional Outcomes of Laminoplasty and Laminectomy." *Neurological Research* 32, no. 4 (12, 2010): 416-20. doi:10.1179/174313209X459084.
 8. Hirabayashi, Kiyoshi, Yoshiaki Toyama, and Kazuhiro Chiba. "Expansive Laminoplasty for Myelopathy in Ossification of the Longitudinal Ligament." *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research* 359 (12 1999): 35-48. doi:10.1097/00003086-199902000-00005.
 9. Kadaňka, Zdeněk, Josef Bednařík, Oldřich Novotný, Igor Urbánek, and Ladislav Dušek. "Cervical Spondylotic Myelopathy: Conservative versus Surgical Treatment after 10 years." *European Spine Journal* 20, no. 9 (12 2011): 1533-538. doi:10.1007/s00586-011-1811-9.
 10. Kim, Tackeun, Chang-Hyun Lee, Seung-Jae Hyun, Sang Hoon Yoon, Ki-Jeong Kim, and Hyun-Jib Kim. "Clinical Outcomes of Spontaneous Spinal Epidural Hematoma : A Comparative Study between Conservative and Surgical Treatment." *Journal of Korean Neurosurgical Society* 52, no. 6 (12 2012): 523. doi:10.3340/jkns.2012.52.6.523.
 11. Kimura, Atsushi, Atsushi Seichi, Hirokazu Inoue, and Yuichi Hoshino. "Long-term Results of Double-door Laminoplasty Using Hydroxyapatite Spacers in Patients with Compressive Cervical Myelopathy." *European Spine Journal* 20, no. 9 (12 2011): 1560-566. doi:10.1007/s00586-011-1724-7.
 12. Kotani, Yoshihisa, Kuniyoshi Abumi, Manabu Ito, Hideki Sudo, Masahiko Takahata, Ken Nagahama, Akira Iwata, and Akio Minami. "Impact of Deep Extensor Muscle-preserving Approach on Clinical Outcome of Laminoplasty for Cervical Spondylotic Myelopathy: Comparative Cohort Study." *European Spine Journal* 21, no. 8 (12 2012): 1536-544. doi:10.1007/s00586-012-2260-9.
 13. Lee, Hwa Joong, Hwan Soo Kim, Kyoung Hyup Nam, In Ho Han, Won Ho Cho, and Byung Kwan Choi. "Neurologic Outcome of Laminoplasty for Acute Traumatic Spinal Cord Injury without Instability." *Korean Journal of Spine* 10, no. 3 (12 2013): 133. doi:10.14245/kjs.2013.10.3.133.
 14. Lee, Thomas T., Barth A. Green, and Erika B. Gromelski. "Safety and Stability of Open-Door Cervical Expansive Laminoplasty." *Journal of Spinal Disorders* 11, no. 1 (12 1998): 12??15.

NASS coverage recommendations should not be construed as including all proper methods of care or excluding other acceptable methods of care reasonably directed to obtaining the same results. The ultimate judgment regarding any specific procedure or treatment is to be made by the physician and patient in light of all circumstances presented by the patient and the needs and resources particular to the locality or institution. **The coverage recommendations do not represent a "standard of care,"** nor are they intended as a fixed treatment protocol. It is anticipated that there will be patients who will require less or more treatment than the average. It is also acknowledged that in atypical cases, treatment falling outside these criteria will sometimes be necessary. This document should not be seen as prescribing the type, frequency or duration of intervention. Treatment and accompanying payment should be based on this information in addition to an individual patient's needs as well as the doctor's professional judgment and experience. This document is designed to function as a guide and should not be used as the sole reason for denial of treatment and services. It is not intended to supersede applicable ethical standards or provisions of law. This is not a legal document.

doi:10.1097/00002517-199802000-00002.

15. Machino, Masaaki, Yasutsugu Yukawa, Tetsuro Hida, Keigo Ito, Hiroaki Nakashima, Shunsuke Kanbara, Daigo Morita, and Fumihiko Kato. "Can Elderly Patients Recover Adequately After Laminoplasty?" *Spine*, 12 2012, 1. doi:10.1097/BRS.0b013e31823147c9.
16. Meyer, Scott A., Jau-Ching Wu, and Praveen V. Mummaneni. "Laminoplasty Outcomes: Is There a Difference between Patients with Degenerative Stenosis and Those with Ossification of the Posterior Longitudinal Ligament?" *Neurosurgical FOCUS* 30, no. 3 (12 2011): E9. doi:10.3171/2011.1.FOCUS10279.
17. Neo, Masashi, Shunsuke Fujibayashi, Mitsuru Takemoto, and Takashi Nakamura. "Clinical Results of and Patient Satisfaction with Cervical Laminoplasty for Considerable Cord Compression with Only Slight Myelopathy." *European Spine Journal* 21, no. 2 (12 2012): 340-46. doi:10.1007/s00586-011-2050-9.
18. Oe, Keisuke, Minoru Doita, Hiroshi Miyamoto, Fumio Kanda, Masahiro Kurosaka, and Masatoshi Sumi. "Is Extensive Cervical Laminoplasty an Effective Treatment for Spinal Cord Sarcoidosis Combined with Cervical Spondylosis?" *European Spine Journal* 18, no. 4 (12 2009): 570-76. doi:10.1007/s00586-009-0891-2.
19. Petraglia, Anthony L., Vasisht Srinivasan, Michelle Coriddi, M. Gordon Whitbeck, James T. Maxwell, and Howard J. Silberstein. "Cervical Laminoplasty as a Management Option for Patients With Cervical Spondylotic Myelopathy." *Neurosurgery* 67, no. 2 (12 2010): 272-77. doi:10.1227/01.NEU.0000371981.83022.B1.
20. Rhee, John M., Bradley Register, Takahiko Hamasaki, and Betty Franklin. "Plate-Only Open Door Laminoplasty Maintains Stable Spinal Canal Expansion with High Rates of Hinge Union and No Plate Failures." *Spine* 36, no. 1 (12 2011): 9-14. doi:10.1097/BRS.0b013e3181fea49c.
21. Sakai, Kenichiro, Atsushi Okawa, Makoto Takahashi, Yoshiyasu Arai, Shigenori Kawabata, Mitsuhiro Enomoto, Tsuyoshi Kato, Takashi Hirai, and Kenichi Shinomiya. "Five-year Follow-up Evaluation of Surgical Treatment for Cervical Myelopathy Caused by Ossification of the Posterior Longitudinal Ligament." *Spine* 37, no. 5 (12 2012): 367-76. doi:10.1097/BRS.0b013e31821f4a51.
22. Sakaura, Hironobu, Noboru Hosono, Yoshihiro Mukai, Takahiro Ishii, Motoki Iwasaki, and Hideki Yoshikawa. "Long-Term Outcome of Laminoplasty for Cervical Myelopathy Due to Disc

NASS coverage recommendations should not be construed as including all proper methods of care or excluding other acceptable methods of care reasonably directed to obtaining the same results. The ultimate judgment regarding any specific procedure or treatment is to be made by the physician and patient in light of all circumstances presented by the patient and the needs and resources particular to the locality or institution. **The coverage recommendations do not represent a "standard of care,"** nor are they intended as a fixed treatment protocol. It is anticipated that there will be patients who will require less or more treatment than the average. It is also acknowledged that in atypical cases, treatment falling outside these criteria will sometimes be necessary. This document should not be seen as prescribing the type, frequency or duration of intervention. Treatment and accompanying payment should be based on this information in addition to an individual patient's needs as well as the doctor's professional judgment and experience. This document is designed to function as a guide and should not be used as the sole reason for denial of treatment and services. It is not intended to supersede applicable ethical standards or provisions of law. This is not a legal document.

Herniation." Spine 30, no. 7 (12 2005): 756-59. doi:10.1097/01.brs.0000157415.79713.7e.

23. Sakaura, Hironobu, Noboru Hosono, Yoshihiro Mukai, Motoki Iwasaki, and Hideki Yoshikawa. "Medium-term Outcomes of C3–6 Laminoplasty for Cervical Myelopathy: A Prospective Study with a Minimum 5-year Follow-up." *European Spine Journal 20, no. 6 (12 2011): 928-33. doi:10.1007/s00586-011-1690-0.*
24. Suda, Kota, Kuniyoshi Abumi, Manabu Ito, Yasuhiro Shono, Kiyoshi Kaneda, and Masanori Fujiya. "Local Kyphosis Reduces Surgical Outcomes of Expansive Open-Door Laminoplasty for Cervical Spondylotic Myelopathy." *Spine 28, no. 12 (12 2003): 1258-262. doi:10.1097/01.BRS.0000065487.82469.D9.*
25. Tanaka, Junichi, Naoki Seki, Fumiaki Tokimura, Koichi Doi, and Shigeru Inoue. "Operative Results of Canal-Expansive Laminoplasty for Cervical Spondylotic Myelopathy in Elderly Patients." *Spine 24, no. 22 (12 1999): 2308. doi:10.1097/00007632-199911150-00004.*
26. Yang, Lili, Yifei Gu, Jueqian Shi, Rui Gao, Yang Liu, Jun Li, and Wen Yuan. "Modified Plate-only Open-door Laminoplasty Versus Laminectomy and Fusion for the Treatment of Cervical Stenotic Myelopathy." *Orthopedics 36, no. 1 (12, 2013): E79-87. doi:10.3928/01477447-20121217-23.*
27. Zhang, H., R. Zhu, H. Yang, X. Zhu, and F. Zhou. "Multifactor Analysis on the Outcomes of Cervical Spondylotic Myelopathy with Expansive Open-Door Laminoplasty." *Journal of International Medical Research 40, no. 4 (12, 2012): 1608-616. doi:10.1177/147323001204000441.*

Authors

NASS Coverage Committee

Co-Chairs: John Glaser, MD & Scott Kreiner, MD

Members:

Jamie Baisden, MD
Ray Baker, MD
Ashok Biyani, MD
Maxwell Boakye, MD

NASS coverage recommendations should not be construed as including all proper methods of care or excluding other acceptable methods of care reasonably directed to obtaining the same results. The ultimate judgment regarding any specific procedure or treatment is to be made by the physician and patient in light of all circumstances presented by the patient and the needs and resources particular to the locality or institution. **The coverage recommendations do not represent a "standard of care,"** nor are they intended as a fixed treatment protocol. It is anticipated that there will be patients who will require less or more treatment than the average. It is also acknowledged that in atypical cases, treatment falling outside these criteria will sometimes be necessary. This document should not be seen as prescribing the type, frequency or duration of intervention. Treatment and accompanying payment should be based on this information in addition to an individual patient's needs as well as the doctor's professional judgment and experience. This document is designed to function as a guide and should not be used as the sole reason for denial of treatment and services. It is not intended to supersede applicable ethical standards or provisions of law. This is not a legal document.

Christopher Bono, MD
Charles Cho, MD, MBA
Michael DePalma, MD
Donald Dietze, MD
John Easa, MD
Gary Ghiselli, MD
James Harrop, MD
Anthony Lapinsky, MD
Darren Lebl, MD
Paul Matz, MD
David O'Brien, MD
Alpesh Patel, MD, FACS
Mitchell Reiter, MD
Charles Reitman, MD
Lee Riley, MD
Alok Sharan, MD
Jeffrey Summers, MD
William Tontz, MD
Scott Tromanhauser, MD, MBA
Eric Truumees, MD

Financial Statement

These Coverage Recommendations were developed in their entirety by the North American Spine Society (NASS). All participating authors have disclosed potential conflicts of interest consistent with NASS' disclosure policy.

Author Disclosures

Baisden, Jamie: Nothing to Disclose.

Biyani, Ashok: Royalties: Globus Medical (E), custom spine (C); Consulting: K2M (B)

Boakye, Maxwell: Nothing to Disclose.

NASS coverage recommendations should not be construed as including all proper methods of care or excluding other acceptable methods of care reasonably directed to obtaining the same results. The ultimate judgment regarding any specific procedure or treatment is to be made by the physician and patient in light of all circumstances presented by the patient and the needs and resources particular to the locality or institution. **The coverage recommendations do not represent a "standard of care,"** nor are they intended as a fixed treatment protocol. It is anticipated that there will be patients who will require less or more treatment than the average. It is also acknowledged that in atypical cases, treatment falling outside these criteria will sometimes be necessary. This document should not be seen as prescribing the type, frequency or duration of intervention. Treatment and accompanying payment should be based on this information in addition to an individual patient's needs as well as the doctor's professional judgment and experience. This document is designed to function as a guide and should not be used as the sole reason for denial of treatment and services. It is not intended to supersede applicable ethical standards or provisions of law. This is not a legal document.

Bono, Christopher: Royalties: Wolters Kluwer (B); Consulting: Harvard Clinical Research Institute (Financial), United Health Care (B); Board of Directors: North American Spine Society (Nonfinancial) Other Office: JAAOS (B), The Spine Journal (Nonfinancial)

Cho, Charles: Nothing to Disclose.

DePalma, Michael: Consulting: Vertiflex, Inc (Financial); Trips/Travel: Medtronic (Financial); Board of Directors: International Spine Intervention Society (Financial), Virginia Spine Research Institute, Inc (Financial); Scientific Advisory Board: Medtronic; Kimberly Clark (Financial); Other Office: Secretary, International Spine Intervention Society (Financial); Research Support (Investigator Salary): Relieva (B), SI Bone (B), Mesoblast, Inc (B); Research Support (Staff/Materials): Relieva (B), Mesoblast (B), SI Bone (B); Relationships Outside the One Year Requirement: AOI Medical (Upcoming Committee Meeting [Clinical Guidelines), Stryker Interventional Spine (B), St. Jude Medical (NASS Annual Meeting, Consulting), Stryker Biotech (NASS Annual Meeting), ATRM (NASS Annual Meeting)

Dietze, Donald: Stock Ownership: Globus Medical Consulting: Globus Medical (Financial); Other: DePuy Spine (B)

Easa, John: Stock Ownership: Janus Biotherapeutics

Ghiselli, Gary: Private Investments: DiFusion; Consulting: Biomet (C); Speaking and/or teaching arrangements: Medacta (B); Scientific Advisory Board: DiFusion (Nonfinancial)

Glaser, John A.: Trips/Travel: Depuy Synthes Spine (Nonfinancial, Trip expenses, A); Grants: SI Bone (D, Research grant funding, Paid directly to institution/employer).

Harrop, James: Consulting: Depuy Spine (B); Board of Directors: Jefferson Medical College Physician Board (Nonfinancial); Scientific Advisory Board: Axiomed (Nonfinancial); Other Office: Bioventus (B), Penn Neurologic Society (Nonfinancial); Research Support (Staff/Materials): NACTN (E); Grants: AO Spine/ NREF (F)

Kreiner, Scott: Stock Ownership: LDR Holdings; Speaking and/or Teaching Arrangements: NASS Trips/Travel: ISIS (Nonfinancial)

Lapinsky, Anthony: Royalties: RTI formerly Pioneer (B); Consulting: Orthofix (Financial)

NASS coverage recommendations should not be construed as including all proper methods of care or excluding other acceptable methods of care reasonably directed to obtaining the same results. The ultimate judgment regarding any specific procedure or treatment is to be made by the physician and patient in light of all circumstances presented by the patient and the needs and resources particular to the locality or institution. **The coverage recommendations do not represent a "standard of care,"** nor are they intended as a fixed treatment protocol. It is anticipated that there will be patients who will require less or more treatment than the average. It is also acknowledged that in atypical cases, treatment falling outside these criteria will sometimes be necessary. This document should not be seen as prescribing the type, frequency or duration of intervention. Treatment and accompanying payment should be based on this information in addition to an individual patient's needs as well as the doctor's professional judgment and experience. This document is designed to function as a guide and should not be used as the sole reason for denial of treatment and services. It is not intended to supersede applicable ethical standards or provisions of law. This is not a legal document.

Lebl, Darren: Nothing to Disclose.

Matz, Paul: Speaking and/or teaching arrangements: AO Spine North America (B); Trips/Travel: NASS (A)

O'Brien, David: Speaking and/or teaching arrangements: NASS (B); Trips/Travel: ISIS & AAPMR (B); Other Office: ISIS & AAPMR (Nonfinancial)

Patel, Alpesh: Royalties: Amedica (B); Stock Ownership: Amedica, Cytonics, Nocimed Vital5; Consulting: Amedica (B), Stryker (B), Biomet (Financial), Depuy (B); Board of Directors: Lumbar Spine Research Society (Nonfinancial), Cervical Spine Research Society (Nonfinancial), Indo-American Spine Alliance (Nonfinancial); Fellowship Support: OREF (D), Omega (B); Other: Amedica (Financial)

Reiter, Mitchell: Private Investments: CreOsso

Reitman, Charles: Trips/Travel: NASS - BOD (Financial), AAOS - Evidence Based Committee (Financial); Scientific Advisory Board: Clinical Orthopedics And Related Research - Deputy Editor (B)

Riley, Lee: Stock Ownership: Spinal kinetics; Speaking and/or teaching arrangements: AOSNA (B); Trips/Travel: DePuy Spine (B); Board of Directors: Lifenet Health (C); Other Office: CSRS (A); Grants: DePuy Spine (B)

Sharan, Alok: Consulting: Paradigm Spine (B); Speaking and/or teaching arrangements: Synthes Spine (B)

Summers, Jeffrey: Stock Ownership: MedWorx ; Private Investments: Morris Innovative (2000 Shares); Board of Directors: International Spine Intervention Society (ISIS) (Nonfinancial)

Tontz, William: Stock Ownership: Phygen; Consulting: Medtronic (C); Speaking and/or teaching arrangements: SpineArt (B); Trips/Travel: Medtronic (B); Scientific Advisory Board: Medtronic (Financial)

Tromanhauser, Scott: Stock Ownership: Soteira, Inc.; Speaking and/or teaching arrangements: DePuy Synthes Spine, Inc. (B); Other Office: Best Doctors Occupational Health Institute (E)

Truumees, Eric: Royalties: Stryker Spine (C); Stock Ownership: Doctor's Research Group (D); Board of Directors: North American Spine Society (Nonfinancial); Other Office: AAOS Communications Cabinet (Financial); Research Support (Investigator Salary): Relieva (B) Globus (B); Other: Stryker Biotech (Nonfinancial)

NASS coverage recommendations should not be construed as including all proper methods of care or excluding other acceptable methods of care reasonably directed to obtaining the same results. The ultimate judgment regarding any specific procedure or treatment is to be made by the physician and patient in light of all circumstances presented by the patient and the needs and resources particular to the locality or institution. **The coverage recommendations do not represent a "standard of care,"** nor are they intended as a fixed treatment protocol. It is anticipated that there will be patients who will require less or more treatment than the average. It is also acknowledged that in atypical cases, treatment falling outside these criteria will sometimes be necessary. This document should not be seen as prescribing the type, frequency or duration of intervention. Treatment and accompanying payment should be based on this information in addition to an individual patient's needs as well as the doctor's professional judgment and experience. This document is designed to function as a guide and should not be used as the sole reason for denial of treatment and services. It is not intended to supersede applicable ethical standards or provisions of law. This is not a legal document.

Comments

Comments regarding the coverage recommendations may be submitted to coverage@spine.org and will be considered in development of future revisions of the work.

DRAFT

Page 11 of 11

NASS coverage recommendations should not be construed as including all proper methods of care or excluding other acceptable methods of care reasonably directed to obtaining the same results. The ultimate judgment regarding any specific procedure or treatment is to be made by the physician and patient in light of all circumstances presented by the patient and the needs and resources particular to the locality or institution. **The coverage recommendations do not represent a "standard of care,"** nor are they intended as a fixed treatment protocol. It is anticipated that there will be patients who will require less or more treatment than the average. It is also acknowledged that in atypical cases, treatment falling outside these criteria will sometimes be necessary. This document should not be seen as prescribing the type, frequency or duration of intervention. Treatment and accompanying payment should be based on this information in addition to an individual patient's needs as well as the doctor's professional judgment and experience. This document is designed to function as a guide and should not be used as the sole reason for denial of treatment and services. It is not intended to supersede applicable ethical standards or provisions of law. This is not a legal document.